NJE Vol. 37: 11-27, 2021 Nigerian Journal of Entomology Published by the Entomological Soc. of Nig. www.esnjournal.com.ng DOI.10.36108/NJE/1202/73.0120



Larvicidal Activity of Solvent Extracts of *Nicotiana tabacum* L, and *Datura metel* L, (Solanales: Solanaceae) Against Larvae of Three Mosquito Species

Yahaya, M. M., 1* Bandiya, H. M., 1 Ladan, M. U. 2 and Shindi, H.A. 3

¹Department of Biological Sciences, Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto, Nigeria ² Department of Biological Sciences, Federal University Gusau, Nigeria ³Department of Crop Production Technology, College of Agric Zuru, Kebbi State, Nigeria

Abstract

Mosquitoes are insects that vector many of the life-threatening diseases. Control of these insects is one of the major problems of the world today. Leaves and seed kernel extracts of Tobacco; Nicotiana tabacum (L.) and Thorn-Apple; Datura metel (L.) were assayed for larvicidal activity against three mosquito species of Aedes, Anopheles and Culex respectively. Samples of the three species were collected from mosquito breeding sites and reared in the laboratory for continued source of larvae following standard procedures. The plants parts used were extracted with hexane, chloroform and methanol in increasing order of polarity. Each extract was formulated into 0.9, 1.6, 2.4, 3.5 and 4.0mg/mL concentrations, and tested for larvicidal activity against 25 larvae of each genus in three replicates, alongside the controls over 12 to 72 hrs periods. The extracts that exhibited highest larvicidal activity were subjected to phytochemical screenings for searching active ingredients. Data were analyzed using Analysis of Variance, treatment means separated through DNMRT and lethal concentrations of the extracts were determined. Among the extracts tested, hexane extract of D. metel and methanol extract of N. tabacum caused highest larvicidal activity with more than 70% mortality on Aedes and Anopheles larvae when 4.0mg/mL was applied after 72 hrs (LC₅₀ 2.94±0.1 and $LC_{50}2.58\pm0.1$ mg/mL respectively). Culex larvae were less susceptible to all concentrations of the extracts. Though, larvicidal activity was observed to be directly proportional to concentrations and larval exposure periods, significant differences (p< 0.05) in the means of larval death were observed. The active extracts were found to contain alkaloids, saponins steroids, flavonoids and glycosides from moderate to high quantity which could be responsible for larvicidal activity. Future formulation studies, toxicity profile and possible mechanism of action may lead to the development of potential insecticidal products.

Keywords: Larvicidal activity, mosquito larvae, phytochemicals, plant extracts.

^{*}Correspondence email: yahayammkhad@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

Mosquito control is an important and basic public health function. Of all insects that transmit disease, mosquito represents by far the greatest menace (Mdoe et al., 2014). The World Health Organization (WHO) (2006) has declared mosquito "Public Enemy Number One". They act as a vector for most of the life-threatening diseases like malaria, yellow fever, dengue fever, chikungunya fever, filariasis, encephalitis, West Nile virus infection, etc., in many other parts of the world. Mosquito borne diseases are prevalent in more than 100 countries across the world, infecting over 700,000,000 people every year (Ghosh et al., 2012). Malaria for instance, recognized by WHO (2006) as the "king of diseases" puts more than 2.4 billion of the world's population at risk. The annual incidence of malaria worldwide estimated to be between 300 - 500 million cases killing 1.1 to 2.7 million people (Thomas et al., 2017). Disease transmission can occur upon the bites from infected female mosquito but the disease organism must reproduce in the mosquito, or at least survives long enough to infect the salivary glands (Conti et al., 2013).

Control efforts can be justified when a mosquito poses a nuisance or is an economic or health-related pest or vector. Most of the widely used vector interruption methods are synthetic insecticide-based. Many of them target the adult mosquitoes as adulticides such as DDT, BHC, pyrethrins, pyrethroids, chlorpyrifos, malathion, carbaryl sumitrin while others are used as larvicides against the larvae, like methoprene (an Insect Growth Regulator), Altosid, Abate, BVA oils and agnigue. Though, synthetic insecticides remain to be the major chemical weapons against vectors, large-scale use for the control of mosquitoes has led to the development of resistance in populations of insects, non-biodegradability, harmful effects on natural enemies, and environmental pollution (Shakeel et al, 2017; Ahmed et al, 2018). Resistance to pesticides was not serious before the early 1940s when raw plant materials were the principal agents of pest control (Bakkali et al., 2008). In recent years, the search for newer products and alternatives for mosquito control that are environmentally safe, target-specific and easily degradable is on the rise (Ohia and Ana, 2015). A wide variety of plant metabolites have been identified to be effective as insect toxicants such as repellents, feeding deterrents, attractants, sterilants and inhibitors of growth and development. The current study determined the efficacy and lethal formulations of Nicotiana tabacum and Datura metel on the larvae of Aedes, Anopheles and Culex mosquitoes as alternatives to synthetic insecticides against these insects. There are more than 3500 mosquito species in the world grouped into 41 genera and 135 subgenera (Reinert, 2000; CDC, 2004). Of these numbers, around 73 species occur in Nigeria (Philip, 1931; Service, 1963) with more prominent ones in the genus Aedes; 40 common species, Anopheles; one or more species found in each state and Culex; 29 species with 12 common ones (Gillette, 1972). Adults are capable of flying long distances, as much as 60 km during the day in search of a blood meal and become serious pests in residential communities (Robinson, 1995). Aedes mosquitoes are strong fliers known to fly many miles from their breeding sources; Culex and Anopheles are weak flies (Patric and Arwin 1983). However, the mosquito species associated with human environment, usually have a short flight range to find suitable larval breeding locations and suitable host for adult feeding within a distance of a few city blocks.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection and extraction of plant materials

Seed samples of Thorn-Apple (*Datura metel*) and leaves of Tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) were obtained from Dabagi farm of Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto (13° 00'N, 5° 14'E, alt 274 m) and identified by a plant taxonomist at the Herbarium of Department of Botany, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto. All the samples were airdried under shade for 3 days. The leaves pulverized into fine powder and sieved with 1 mm mesh. The seed coat of D. metel was removed manually using pestle and mortar. It was then winnowed and sieved to obtain whitish seed kernels (Ayinde et al., 2020). The kernel was macerated using an electric blender (WARING MX-1100XT) and then shade-dried to obtained the powder. Crude extracts of each of hexane, chloroform and methanol were prepared from the powdered materials, filtered and evaporated in a drying cabinet overnight at 40°C. Each extract was subjected to fractionation with hexane, chloroform and methanol in the increasing order of polarity (Ogundare et al., 2006). In this case, 300 grams of dried N. tabacum crude extract was reconstituted in 500 mL distilled water and equal volume of hexane was added in a separation funnel. This was mixed vigorously (manually) for about 40 minutes and the hexane fraction was carefully separated into a beaker. Equal volume of chloroform was added in the remaining aqueous fraction to obtained chloroform fraction and same process was repeated for methanol fraction. Each fraction was evaporated to dryness on a Buchi Rotavapor (Labortechnik, Switzerland). Four (4 g) gram sample was measured from each extract and made up to 1 L with distilled water to form 4000mg/L (4mg/mL) as stock. The D. metel extracts contain oil, thus, were

emulsified with 0.009 ml of polysorbate (Tween-80®) (Anyaele and Amusan, 2003) for each stock solution.

Collection and identification of mosquito larvae

Larvae of mosquitoes were sampled from three locations in Sokoto; Gidan Igwai, (13° 08' N, 5° 12' E), Illela Garage (13° 04' N, 5° 16' E) and Tudun Wada (13° 03' N, 5° 14' E). Breeding sites such as gutters and manmade ditches of less than 2 meters deep were searched for the larvae. Collection of the larvae was made using plastic dipper (300 ml, handle length: 11 cm) by simple scooping. The composite samples were sorted and identified to genus level in the laboratory using the keys of Harrison (2005) and pictorial guide of Michele and O'Meara (2008). Larvae belonging to three genera; Aedes, Anopheles and Culex mosquitoes were duly identified and used in the study.

Rearing of the larvae

The larvae were fed with brewer's yeast using WHO (2006) protocol in plastic trays inside wooden cum wire gauze cage (50 x 30 x 30 cm). The cage had internal demarcation of mesh netting (3 cm² per hole), served as pigeon box. Adults that emerged out were fed on 10% sucrose solution but females' blood-fed in addition with restrained three-weeks old chick (shaved from the back and underside). In this procedure, two generations of the mosquitoes were maintained and used as larval stock for the study.

Larvicidal bioassay

Each extract was formulated into five concentrations which were informed by a preliminary test that found the lowest concentration that killed all the larvae and the highest concentration that killed none (Anyaele *et al.*, 2002). The concentrations

were 0.9, 1.6, 2.5, 3.4 and 4.0 mg/mL. Dilution formula was used to prepare the formulations and each was placed in particular 250 ml beaker capacity, also containing 5 mL of 12 mg albumin (1.3 g/100 mL H₂0) as larval food (Ima et al., 2005), while the final volume adjusted to 100 mL. Into the beaker, 25 fourth instar larvae of Aedes mosquito from the larval stock were released. The treated larvae were maintained in the incubator held at $27 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C and 75 ± 5 % RH (Pavela, 2015). A separate beaker containing same amount of larval food in 100mL distilled water was also provided with 25 fourth instar larvae of the same genus to serve as control. All treatments were replicated three times including the control. Observation on the mortality of both treated and untreated larvae was made at 12, 24, 48 and 72 hrs. by counting and recording the number of dead larvae in each case. Similar procedure was repeated when the larvae of Anopheles and Culex were treated. For D. metel control settings however, same amount of polysorbate 80® was added (0.009mL) in the beakers containing larvae, as in stock solution.

Phytochemical Analyses

Phytochemical screening of the most active extracts was carried out using standard procedures to search for bioactive compounds as described by Kumar and Maneemegalai (2008).

Analysis of Data

Data obtained from the dose-response assays were subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) procedure using SAS v.9.1 software and significant differences in the treatment means were separated with Duncan's New Multiple Range Test (DNMRT) at 5% level. The median and lethal concentrations (72 hr. LC_{50, 90}) of the

different extracts were determined along with other statistics using a computerized probit regression (license) developed as Statplus (2009).

RESULTS

Larvicidal Activity of the Extracts

The lowest concentration of *D. metel* hexane extract (0.9 mg/ml) administered to Aedes larvae for 12 hrs, caused a single death but slightly increased after 72 hrs. of exposure (Table 1). At 1.6 and 2.5 mg/mL, the mean larval mortality did not differ significantly (p> 0.05). Increase in concentrations of the extract to 3.4 mg/mL and 4.0mg/mL caused highly significant (p<0.05) death of the larvae. On Anopheles larvae, the lethal activity was also related to increase in concentration and exposure period. The highest mean death of Culex larvae was observed for 4.0 mg/mL of the extract after 72 hrs of exposure, but mortality was very low. The mortality values observed for all the larvae treated with N. tabacum hexane extract, D. metel and N. tabacum chloroform extracts, and D. metel methanol extract in Tables 2-5, respectively were very low, but there were significant differences (p< 0.05) in the means of larval death caused by the treatments when compared to mean death of larvae in the control. Culex larvae were observed for lowest mean death while Anopheles were the highest when treated with methanol extract of N. tabacum after 72 hrs. exposure to 4.0 mg/mL (Table 6). Significant differences (p< 0.05) among treatment means and with control were observed. The highest mortality among the larvae was 80.0% (Anopheles) and the lowest was 4.0% (*Culex*).

Lethal concentrations determined (LC₅₀/₉₀ values)

The methanol extract of *N. tabacum* exhibited the lowest LC₅₀ value (2.58±0.1 mg/mL) followed by hexane extract of *D. metel* (3.13±0.1 mg/mL) against *Anopheles* larvae which indicated marked larvicidal activity. Except for the corresponding LC₉₀ observed against *Aedes* and *Anopheles* larvae, the remaining values were more than two times fold compared to highest concentration applied (Table 7).

Analysis of Phytochemicals

Phytochemical compounds such as saponins, alkaloids, and steroids were observed to be highly present in *D. metel* and *N. tabacum* extracts while volatile oils were higher in *D. metel* and saponin glycosides higher in *N. tabacum* (Table 8). The quantity of some of the compounds in both the extracts was shown to be from trace amount to large amount (Table 9).

Table 1: Larvicidal activity of hexane extract of *D. metel* against 4th instar larvae of mosquitoes

Mosquito larvae tested	Concentration (mg/100mL)	Number of larvae		Mean d	Total mean	Mortality (%)		
	,	treated		Exposure 1		death	. ,	
			12	24	48	72	_	
Aedes spp.	0.9	25	1.00±1.0	1.67±0.6	1.67±0.6	1.67±0.6	1.500a	6.68
	1.6	25	1.00 ± 0.0	2.00 ± 1.0	2.33 ± 0.6	2.33 ± 0.6	1.917^{a}	9.32
	2.5	25	1.67 ± 0.6	2.33 ± 1.2	2.67 ± 0.6	3.33 ± 0.6	2.500^{a}	13.32
	3.4	25	2.00 ± 1.0	2.67±0.6	3.00 ± 1.0	18.00 ± 2.0	6.417^{b}	72.00
	4.0	25	2.00 ± 0.0	8.33±0.6	10.00 ± 4.0	18.67±3.1	9.750°	74.63
	Control	25	0.33 ± 0.3	1.00±0.6	1.33 ± 0.3	1.33 ± 0.3	0.997^{d}	5.32
	Effect over time		1.533a	3.400^{b}	3.933 ^b	8.800°		
Anopheles spp.	0.9	25	1.67±1.5	3.33±0.6	3.67±0.6	5.00±1.0	3.417 ^a	20.00
	1.6	25	2.33±1.5	3.33 ± 1.2	3.67±0.6	5.67±0.6	3.750^{a}	22.68
	2.5	25	3.67 ± 0.6	4.00 ± 0.0	4.67±1.2	6.00 ± 2.0	4.583a	24.00
	3.4	25	3.67 ± 2.1	6.67±1.2	7.33 ± 1.2	16.00±4.6	8.417^{b}	64.00
	4.0	25	5.00 ± 2.1	7.00 ± 2.0	10.33±1.5	19.00±2.0	10.333c	76.00
	Control	25	0.33 ± 0.3	0.33 ± 0.3	1.33 ± 0.7	1.33 ± 0.7	0.833^{d}	5.32
	Effect over time		3.267^{a}	4.867^{b}	5.933 ^b	10.33 ^c		
Culex spp.	0.9	25	0.00 ± 0.0	0.33±0.6	0.67±0.6	0.67±0.6	0.417a	2.68
11	1.6	25	0.00 ± 0.0	0.33 ± 0.6	1.33±0.6	1.67±0.6	0.588^{a}	6.68
	2.5	25	0.33 ± 0.6	1.33±0.6	1.67±0.6	1.67±0.6	1.250 ^b	6.68
	3.4	25	0.00 ± 0.0	2.00 ± 1.0	2.67±0.6	3.67±1.2	2.083c	14.68
	4.0	25	0.00 ± 0.0	2.67±1.5	4.33±1.5	6.33±1.2	3.333^{d}	25.32
	Control	25	0.00 ± 0.0	0.72 ± 0.4	1.33±0.3	1.33±0.3	0.845^{a}	5.32
	Effect over time		0.067^{a}	1.333 ^b	2.133 ^c	2.600^{c}		

Table 2: Larvicidal activity of hexane extract of N. tabacum against 4th instar larvae of mosquitoes

Mosquito	Concentration (mg/100mL)	Number		Mear	death ±SD		Total	Mortality (%)
larvae tested		of larvae treated		Exposur	e period (Hr	s.)	mean – death	
		ireated	12	24	48	72	- death	
Aedes spp.	0.9	25	0.00±0.0	0.67±1.2	1.33±0.6	2.33±1.5	1.083a	9.32
	1.6	25	0.67±1.2	1.00±1.0	1.33±0.6	2.67±0.6	1.417^{ab}	10.68
	2.5	25	1.00±1.0	1.67±0.6	2.00±1.0	3.33±0.6	2.00^{bc}	13.32
	3.4	25	2.00±0.0	2.00±0.0	2.33±0.6	4.00±1.0	2.583°	16.00
	4.0	25	2.67±1.2	2.67±1.2	3.00±1.0	5.33±1.2	3.417^{d}	21.32
	Control	25	0.00 ± 0.0	0.33 ± 0.3	0.72 ± 0.3	1.33±0.3	0.595^{a}	5.32
	Effect over time		1.267ª	1.600 ^a	2.000 ^a	3.533 ^b		
Anopheles spp.	0.9	25	0.67±1.2	1.67±0.6	1.67±0.6	1.67±0.6	1.417 ^a	6.68
	1.6	25	1.00 ± 1.0	2.00±1.0	2.00±1.0	2.33±0.6	1.833 ^b	9.32
	2.5	25	1.00 ± 1.0	2.67±1.2	3.33±1.2	3.67 ± 0.6	2.667^{b}	14.68
	3.4	25	2.00 ± 1.0	2.67±0.6	3.33 ± 0.6	4.00 ± 1.0	3.000^{c}	16.00
	4.0	25	3.00 ± 0.0	3.33 ± 0.6	4.33±0.6	5.67±0.6	4.083^{c}	22.68
	Control	25	0.33 ± 0.3	0.33 ± 0.3	0.73 ± 0.3	1.33 ± 0.3	0.880^{d}	5.32
	Effect over time		1.533 ^a	2.467 ^b	2.933bc	3.467 ^c		
Culex spp.	0.9	25	0.00±0.0	0.67±1.2	1.33±0.6	1.33±0.6	0.833a	5.32
	1.6	25	0.00 ± 0.0	1.33±0.6	1.00±1.0	1.33±1.2	0.917^{a}	5.32
	2.5	25	1.00±1.0	1.33±1.2	2.00±0.0	2.00±0.0	1.583 ^b	8.00
	3.4	25	1.67±0.6	2.00±0.0	2.67±1.2	2.67±1.2	2.250^{c}	10.68
	4.0	25	1.67±0.6	2.00±0.0	2.67±0.6	3.33±0.6	2.417 ^c	13.32
	Control	25	0.33±0.3	0.33±0.3	1.00±0.0	1.00 ± 0.0	0.665^{a}	4.0
	Effect over time		0.867^{a}	1.467 ^b	1.933 ^{bc}	2.133 ^c		

Table 3: Larvicidal activity of chloroform extract of D. metel against 4th instar larvae of mosquitoes

Mosquito	Concentrations (mg/100mL)	Number		Mean de		Total	Mortality	
larvae tested		of larvae treated		Exposure p	mean – death	(%)		
		ireateu	12	24	48	72	- ucani	
Aedes spp.	0.9	25	0.00±0.0	0.67±0.6	1.00±0.0	1.67±0.6	0.833 ^{ad}	6.68
	1.6	25	0.67±0.6	1.33±0.6	1.33±0.6	1.67±0.6	1.250a	6.68
	2.5	25	0.67±0.6	1.33±0.6	2.00±1.0	2.33±1.5	1.583ab	9.32
	3.4	25	1.33±0.6	1.67±1.2	2.33±1.5	3.00±1.7	2.083 ^b	12.00
	4.0	25	2.00±0.0	2.00±0.0	3.33±1.5	4.33±0.6	2.916 ^c	17.32
	Control	25	0.16±0.4	0.44 ± 0.6	0.83±0.6	1.17±0.6	0.653^{d}	4.68
	Effect over time		0.933^{a}	1.400 ^{ab}	2.000bc	2.600°		
Anopheles spp.	0.9	25	0.33±0.6	0.33±0.6	0.33±0.6	0.67±0.6	0.416 ^a	2.68
	1.6	25	0.67 ± 1.2	1.00 ± 1.0	1.00 ± 1.0	1.33±1.5	1.000^{a}	5.32
	2.5	25	1.67±1.6	1.67±0.6	1.67±0.6	2.67±0.6	1.916^{b}	10.68
	3.4	25	2.33±0.6	2.67±0.6	2.67±0.6	4.00 ± 2.0	2.916^{c}	16.00
	4.0	25	2.33±1.6	3.33 ± 1.2	4.33±0.6	5.33±1.5	3.833^{d}	21.32
	Control	25	0.28 ± 0.5	0.45 ± 0.5	0.83 ± 0.6	1.2±0.6	0.691^{a}	4.80
	Effect over time		1.466ª	1.800^{a}	2.000a	2.800 ^b		
Culex spp.	0.9	25	0.00±0.0	0.00±0.0	0.00±0.0	0.67±0.6	0.166a	2.68
	1.6	25	0.33±0.6	0.33 ± 0.6	0.33±0.6	1.67±1.5	0.666^{ab}	6.68
	2.5	25	0.67±0.6	0.67±0.6	1.00±00	2.33±1.5	1.166 ^b	9.32
	3.4	25	1.33±0.6	1.33±0.6	1.67±1.5	3.72±1.8	1.833°	14.88
	4.0	25	2.67±0.6	2.67±0.6	2.81±0.7	3.89±1.8	2.750 ^d	15.56
	Control	25	0.16±0.4	0.44±0.6	0.88±0.5	0.88±05	0.591a	3.52
	Effect over time		1.000^{a}	1.000a	1.066a	2.200 ^b		

Table 4: Larvicidal activity of chloroform extract of N. tabacum against 4th instar larvae of mosquitoes

Mosquito	Concentrations	Number		Mear	death ±SD		Total	Mortality
larvae tested	(mg/100mL)	of larvae		Exposur	.)	mean	(%)	
		treated	12	24	48	72	- death	
Aedes spp.	0.9	25	0.00±0.0	0.00±0.0	1.00±1.0	1.33±0.6	0.583ae	5.32
	1.6	25	0.00 ± 0.0	1.00±1.0	1.33±0.6	2.33±0.6	1.167 ^{ab}	9.32
	2.5	25	0.33±0.6	1.33±0.6	1.67±0.6	2.33±0.6	1.417 ^b	9.32
	3.4	25	1.67±1.2	2.00±1.0	2.67±0.6	3.00±1.0	2.333°	12.00
	4.0	25	3.00±1.7	3.33±1.5	4.00±1.0	4.33±1.5	3.667^{d}	17.32
	Control	25	0.00 ± 0.0	0.00 ± 0.0	0.71±0.3	1.00±0.0	0.427^{e}	4.00
	Effect over time		1.000^{a}	1.533 ^{ab}	2.133bc	2.667 ^c		
Anopheles spp.	0.9	25	0.00±0.0	0.33±0.6	1.67±2.1	3.00±2.0	1.250 ^a	12.00
	1.6	25	0.33 ± 0.6	0.67±0.5	1.33±0.6	2.67±1.5	1.250a	10.68
	2.5	25	1.33±1.2	2.00±0.0	2.67±1.2	3.00±1.0	2.250^{ab}	12.00
	3.4	25	0.67±1.2	3.00±1.0	4.001.7	4.33±1.5	3.000^{b}	17.32
	4.0	25	3.33±1.5	4.00±1.7	4.33±1.5	5.67±0.6	4.333°	22.68
	Control	25	0.00 ± 0.0	0.33 ± 0.3	0.73 ± 0.3	1.33±0.3	0.427^{d}	5.32
	Effect over time		1.133 ^a	2.000 ^{ab}	2.800 ^b	3.733 ^c		
Culex spp.	0.9	25	0.33±0.6	1.00±1.0	1.33±0.6	1.33±0.6	1.000 ^{ac}	5.32
	1.6	25	1.00±1.0	1.33±0.6	1.67±0.6	2.33±1.5	1.583a	9.32
	2.5	25	1.67±2.1	2.67±0.6	2.67±0.6	3.33±1.5	2.583a	13.32
	3.4	25	0.67±2.1	2.67±1.2	3.33±0.6	3.67±0.6	3.083 ^b	14.68
	4.0	25	1.67±0.6	3.33±1.2	3.67±0.6	3.67±0.6	3.083^{b}	14.68
	Control	25	0.33 ± 0.3	0.71±0.3	0.71 ± 0.3	0.71±0.3	0.615^{c}	2.84
	Effect over time		1.067a	2.200^{b}	2.533 ^b	2.867^{b}		

Table 5: Larvicidal activity of methanol extract of D. metel against 4th instar larvae of mosquitoes

Mosquito	Concentration	Number		Mea	n death ±SD		Total	Mortality
larvae tested	(mg/100mL)	of larvae treated		Exposi	mean death	(%)		
			12	24	48	72		
Aedes spp.	0.9	25	0.00±0,0	0.67±1.2	1.67±0.6	1.67±0.6	1.000 ^{ad}	6.68
	1.6	25	0.67±0.6	0.67 ± 0.6	1.67±1.2	2.00±1.0	1.250a	8.00
	2.5	25	1.00±0.0	1.33±0.6	1.67±0.6	2.00±0.0	1.500ab	8.00
	3.4	25	1.67±1.2	2.00±1.0	2.00±1.0	2.33±0.6	2.00^{bc}	9.32
	4.0	25	1.67±0.6	2.00±1.0	3.00±1.0	3.33±0.6	2.500^{c}	13.32
	Control	25	0.330.3	0.33 ± 0.3	0.73 ± 0.3	0.73 ± 0.3	0.533^{d}	2.92
	Effect over time		1.000 ^a	1.333 ^a	2.000^{b}	2.267 ^b		
Anopheles spp.	0.9	25	0.00±0.0	0.33±0.6	1.00±1.0	1.00±1.0	0.583 ^{ad}	4.00
	1.6	25	0.67 ± 1.2	0.67 ± 1.2	1.33±0.6	1.33±0.6	1.000^{a}	5.32
	2.5	25	0.67 ± 0.6	1.67±0.6	1.67±0.6	2.67±0.6	1.667 ^b	10.68
	3.4	25	0.67 ± 0.6	2.00±1.0	2.33±1.2	3.33±1.2	2.083^{b}	13.32
	4.0	25	2.33±0.6	2.67 ± 0.6	3.67±0.6	4.67±1.2	3.333^{c}	18.68
	Control	25	0.00 ± 0.0	0.00 ± 0.0	0.73 ± 0.3	0.73 ± 0.3	0.365^{d}	2.92
	Effect over time		0.867 ^a	1.467 ^b	2.000^{b}	2.600°		
Culex spp.	0.9	25	0.00±0.0	0.00±0.0	0.67±1.2	1.00±1.0	0.417 ^{ad}	4.00
	1.6	25	0.00 ± 0.0	0.33 ± 0.6	0.67±0.6	0.67±0.6	0.417^{ad}	2.68
	2.5	25	0.67±0.6	1.00±0.0	1.00±0.0	1.00±0.0	0.917^{ab}	4.00
	3.4	25	1.00±1.0	1.33±0.6	1.33±0.6	1.67±0.6	1.333 ^b	6.68
	4.0	25	1.33±0.6	1.67±0.6	2.67±0.6	2.67±0.6	2.083^{c}	10.68
	Control	25	0.00 ± 0.0	0.00 ± 0.0	0.33±0.3	0.33 ± 0.3	0.165^{d}	1.32
	Effect over time		0.600^{a}	0.867^{ab}	1.267 ^{bc}	1.400 ^c		

Table 6: Larvicidal activity of methanol extract of N. tabacum against 4th instar larvae of mosquitoes

Mosquito larvae tested	Concentration (mg/100mL)	Number		Mean o	Total	Mortality		
		of larvae		Exposure		mean	(%)	
		treated	12	24	48	72	- death	
Aedes spp.	0.9	25	0.67±1.2	1.00±1.0	2.67±1.6	2.67±1.6	1.750a	10.68
	1.6	25	1.00±1.0	2.67±1.6	3.33±1.2	3.67±0.6	2.667^{ab}	14.68
	2.5	25	2.33±1.5	3.00±1.0	4.00±1.0	4.67±0.6	3.500^{b}	18.68
	3.4	25	2.67±1.2	4.67±1.5	5.00±1.0	5.67±1.2	4.500°	22.68
	4.0	25	4.67±1.2	4.67±1.2	5.67±1.2	6.33±1.2	5.333°	25.32
	Control	25	0.00 ± 0.0	0.73 ± 0.3	0.73 ± 0.3	1.00±0.3	0.615^{d}	4.00
	Effect over time		2.267ª	3.200 ^b	4.133°	4.600°		
Anopheles spp.	0.9	25	0.00±0.0	3.33±1.5	4.67±1.5	5.67±1.5	3.417 ^a	22.68
	1.6	25	0.00 ± 0.0	4.67±2.5	6.00±2.0	6.67±1.2	4.333^{a}	26.68
	2.5	25	2.33±0.6	6.67±2.1	8.67±1.5	9.67±2.5	6.833 ^b	38.68
	3.4	25	4.00±2.0	9.67±3.1	10.33±2.5	17.67±2.5	10.417 ^c	70.68
	4.0	25	6.33±2.1	15.67±2.5	18.67±2.5	20.33±2.1	15.250^{d}	80.00
	Control	25	0.00 ± 0.0	0.33±0.3	0.33 ± 0.3	0.73 ± 0.3	0.348^{e}	2.92
	Effect over time		2.533 ^a	8.000 ^b	9.667°	12.000 ^d		
Culex spp.	0.9	25	0.00±0.0	0.33±0.6	1.00±1.0	1.00±1.0	0.583a	4.00
	1.6	25	0.67±1.2	1.33±0.6	1.33±0.6	2.00±0.0	1.333ab	8.00
	2.5	25	1.00±1.7	1.67±1.2	2.33±0.6	2.67±0.6	1.917 ^{bc}	10.68
	3.4	25	1.00±1.0	2.33±0.6	2.67±0.6	3.33±1.5	2.333°	13.32
	4.0	25	1.0 ± 0.0	3.00 ± 2.0	3.33±1.5	3.67±2.1	2.750^{c}	14.68
	Control	25	0.00 ± 0.0	0.33 ± 0.3	1.00±0.0	1.00±0.0	0.582a	4.00
	Effect over time		0.733ª	1.733 ^b	2.133 ^b	2.533 ^b		

Table 7: Lethal concentrations (72 hrs.) of *D. metel* and *N. tabacum* extract against 4th instar larvae of mosquitoes

	Mosquito spp.		Concent	rations	95% confidence limit (mg/mL)			
D. metel extract		No of larvae treated	LC ₅₀ (mg/mL)	LC ₉₀ (mg/mL)	LCL	UCL	X ²	
Hexane	Aedes spp.	25	3.13±0.1	4.66	2.93	3.32	8.25	
	Anopheles spp.	25	2.94±0.1	5.35	2.67	3.21	14.43	
	Culex spp.	25	5.60±0.3	8.43	5.10	6.11	3.98	
Chloroform	Aedes spp.	25	9.35±0.5	16.20	8.36	10.34	1.09	
	Anopheles spp.	25	6.22±0.3	9.88	5.69	6.75	0.23	
	Culex spp.	25	8.60±0.4	14.18	7.78	9.40	0.06	
Methanol	Aedes spp.	25	14.62±0.7	26.19	13.17	16.07	0.59	
	Anopheles spp.	25	7.26±0.3	11.91	6.59	7.93	0.55	
	Culex spp.	25	11.01±0.9	17.76	9.29	12.72	2.04	
N. tabacum extract								
Hexane	Aedes spp.	25	9.12±0.4	16.90	8.25	9.99	0.61	
	Anopheles spp.	25	7.46±0.4	13.14	6.74	8.17	0.49	
	Culex spp.	25	10.45±0.5	17.77	9.39	11.51	0.55	
Chloroform	Aedes spp.	25	9.46±0.5	16.51	8.58	10.35	0.74	
	Anopheles spp.	25	9.56±0.5	18.20	8.60	10.53	1.84	
	Culex spp.	25	10.10±0.5	18.11	9.10	11.10	0.24	
Methanol	Aedes spp.	25	7.58±0.4	14.70	6.79	8.38	0.04	
	Anopheles spp.	25	2.58±0.1	4.87	2.32	2.84	8.29	
	Culex spp.	25	11.03±0.6	19.73	9.94	12.12	0.002	

 LC_{50} = lethal concentration that kills 50% of the exposed larvae, $LC_{90=}$ lethal concentration that kills 90% of the exposed larvae.

LCL = lower confidence limit, UCL = upper confidence limit, X^2 =chi-square values.

Phytochemical compounds	Plant extracts	S
	D. metel	N. tabacum
Flavonoids	+	ND
Tannins	ND	ND
Saponins	+++	+++
Alkaloids	+++	+++
Cardiac Glycosides	++	++
Saponin Glycosides	ND	+++
Volatile oils	+++	+
Glycosides	++	++
Steroids	+++	+++
Anthraquinones	ND	ND

Key: ND = Not Detected, + = Present in trace amount, ++ = Moderately present, +++ = Highly present.

Table 9: Quantitative Phytochemical analysis of most active extracts of the plants (in g% W/V) used

Plants extracts	Compounds (per-cent)							
	Saponins	Alkaloids	Flavonoids	Total glycosides				
D. metel hexane extract	2.48	2.89	6.81	9.16				
N. tabacum methanol extract	2.88	3.2	_	7.03				

Key: 0.01-2g% = Trace amount, 2-3g% present, 3-above present in large amount.

DISCUSSION

The larvae of Aedes and Anopheles were more sensitive to the hexane extract of D. metel and methanol extracts of N. tabacum respectively with significantly (p<0.05) higher larval mortality. Culex larvae were less susceptible to any of the extracts. species Different mosquito displayed different levels of susceptibility to the same mortality extract. The of Cx. quinquefasciatus was significantly lower than An. stephensi when exposed to Neemarine (Vatandoost and Vaziri, 2004). Toxicants in the extracts might be more specific by affecting *Culex* species less rather than the other two species involved. It might also be possible that *Culex* mosquitoes have stronger detoxifying mechanisms against the toxins in the extract to warrant for lesser susceptibility. In addition, mortality of the larvae was observed to be directly proportional to concentrations and exposure periods. Chakkaravarthy et al. (2011) observed 72.50% and 87.0% mortality of quinquefasciatus after 24 hrs Culex exposures to 1000 ppm concentration of hexane and chloroform extracts of respectively. Azadirachta indica The observed mortality of Anopheles stephensi

exposed to 4, 6, and 8ppm concentrations of methanol extract of D. metel were 26, 73 and 78% respectively (Kumar and Murugan, 2011). Similarly, hexanolic extract of *Ricinus* communis caused 93% mortality on Culex quenquefasciatus larvae at concentration 70 ppm within 48 hrs. (Yahaya and Ruqayya, 2013). When the ethyl acetate, chloroform and methanol extracts of aerial parts of Tagetes minuta were tested against the larvae of An. stephensi at 2.5 mg/mL, it was the chloroform extract that had the highest efficacy with 64% mortality on the larvae (Hadjiakhoodi et al., 2005). Some other plants whose parts were extracted with the same solvents used in this study that recorded similar effect on mortality of different mosquito larvae include Lantana camara (Kumar and Maneemegalai, 2008), D. metel, Cocculus hirsutum and Cassia occidentalis (Kumar and Murugan, 2011), Hyperium polyanthemum (Riberiro et al, 2007), Margaritaria discodea (Habeeb, 2010), Allium sativum, Jatropha curcas, Mikania schenkii, Pionciana regia and Spathodea campanulata (Consoli et al, 1989).

The larvicidal activity of *D. metel* hexane extract and N. tabacum methanol extract observed in this study could be attributed to the alkaloids and saponins contents in the extracts. Presence of alkaloids and saponins in Datura is in agreement with the report of Berkov and Zayed (2004), that D. metel is known to contain 36 trophane alkaloids, saponins, tannins, sugars, proteins, free fatty acids and nitrate. According to Rizvi et al. (2018) alkaloids are available as the main constituents of different parts of the plant and vary in concentration depending on the specific part and the species. They are the main depressant of the central nervous system producing most effect on the hypothalamus (Kutama et al., 2010). The percentages of saponins and alkaloids in D.

metel and N. tabacum were however moderate, but the compounds were reported to be toxic to animals even in low concentration (Kovendan et al., 2012). Gopieshkhanna and Kannabiran (2007) have observed the presence of carbohydrates, saponins, sphytosterols, phenols, flavonoids and tannins in the plant extracts having larvicidal activity. mosquito Alkaloids derived from a tropical vine; Phyllum peltatum was found to have larvicidal activity against malaria vector, An. stephensi (Thomas et al, 2017). Ryanodine, an alkaloid from the tropical shrub, Raffesia speciosa has been used as a commercial insecticide against European corn borer (Klock, 1987). Furthermore, physostigmine, an alkaloid from Physostigma venenosum was the upon compound which, carbomate insecticides were designed (Rice, 1983). Saponins were also reported toxic to cold blooded animals and insects at certain concentrations. Those derived from Balanites aegyptiaca exhibited maximum larvicidal activity against Aedes aegypti (Wiesman and Chapagan, 2006). In addition, Medlock et al. (2012) reported that dipterous insects are unable to hydrolyze saponins. Pelah et al. (2002) reported the use of commercial saponins from *Ouillaja* saponaria bark as a natural larvicide against Ae. aegypti and Cx. pipiens.

Variation in the larvicidal activity of the extracts against the larvae could result from variations in extraction solvent or the mosquito species. Probably, different types of chemicals were extracted from the same parts of the plant in these solvents that resulted in variable activity when tested against the larvae. It is known that different mosquito species displayed different levels of susceptibility to the same extract. Sujatha *et al.* (1988) reported variations in toxicological efficacy with three mosquito species to petroleum ether extract of *Aconus*

calamus, Ageratum conyzoides, Annona squamosa, Bambusa arundanasia, Madhuca longifolia and Citrus medica to which Ae. aegypti, An. gambiae were completely susceptible while Cx. quinqufasciatus was relatively susceptible. Both methanol and ethanol flower extracts of L. camara were found to have higher rate of larvicidal activity against Ae. aegypti, whereas in the quinquefasciatus Cx. species, the concentrations of the extracts have to be increased to achieve similar effect on larval mortality. Insects respond to increasing doses of a toxicant or insecticide by increased mortality thus, the mortality effect of a toxicant on its host can be expressed as an LC₅₀ value. The LC₅₀ values obtained in this study were closer to the range of LC₅₀ values of the extracts from the aerial parts of Tagetes minuta (1.5mg/mL to 3.5 mg/mL) on the larvae of An. fluviatalis as reported by Macedo et al. (1999). Also, similar to those reported by Kumar et al. (2014) who observed LC₅₀ values between 2.35 and 18.88 mg/mL against An. stephensi upon exposure to the extracts of the same plant within 48 hours. Kumar and Murugan (2011) reported LC₅₀ values of 5.89ppm and 6.43ppm from the extract of D. metel against An. stephensi and Cx. quinquefasciatus respectively. Variations observed could be attributed mosquito to species environmental factors, type of solvents used and selective action of toxicants in the extracts. The observations made presently for mosquito larvicidal activity of D. metel and N. tabacum extracts may support the claim that many members of the Solanaceae plant family to which both plants belong are poisonous to insects.

CONCLUSION

Larvicidal activity of *D. metel* and *N. tabacum* extracts on mosquito larvae that

could be associated with the presence of alkaloids and saponnins, was established in this study. The extracts of hexane and methanol of D. metel and N. tabacum respectively were the most effective against Aedes and Anopheles larvae, while the Culex larvae were less susceptible to both. However, the activity of the extracts against mosquito larvae was directly proportional to concentration and exposure period. The lowest LC50 values recorded for hexane extract of D. metel were against Anopheles and Aedes larvae (LC₅₀ 2.94±0.1 mg/mL and 3.13±0.1mg/mL respectively). Similarly, it was the methanol extract of N. tabacum that was observed for the lowest LC50 value (LC₅₀ 2.58±0.1mg/mL) against Anopheles larvae, while the values for other extracts were greater than LC₅₀ 7.0mg/mL. The extracts could be used to control larval mosquitoes, offering an alternative to rather expensive and environmentally hazardous synthetic insecticides.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors are grateful to Dr. Ajit Singh (University of Nottingham Malaysia) who assisted with statistical software and analysis of the data.

REFERENCES

Ahmed, S.M., Saeed, M., Nawaz, A.; Usman, M., Shoukat, R.F., Li, S., Zhang, Y., Zeng, L., Zafar, J., Akash, A. (2018). Monitoring of quantitative and qualitative losses by Lepidopteran, and Homopteran pests in different crop production systems of Brassica oleracea L. Journal of Entomology and. Zoology Studies, 6: 06–12.

Anyaele, O.O. Amusan, A.A.S., Okorie, T.G. and Oke, O.A. (2002). Toxicity of hexanolic extract of *Piper guineense* Schum and Thonn (Piperraceae) seed oil to larvae of *Aedes aegypti* (L.). *Nigerian Journal of Entomology*, 19(15): 15-21.

- Anyaele, O.O. and Amusan, A.A.S. (2003). Toxicity of hexanolic extract of *Dennettia Tripetala* (G.Baxer) on larvae of *Aedes aegypti* (L). *African Journal of Biomedical Research*, 6: 49-53.
- Ayinde, A.A., Morakinyo, O.M. and Sridhar, M.K.C. (2020). Repellency and larvicidal activities of *Azadirachta indica* seed oil on *Anopheles gambiae* in Nigeria. *Heliyon*, 6: 1-7.
- Bakkali, F.; Averbeck, S.; Averbeck, D.; Idaomar, M. (2008). Biological effects of essential oils A review. Food Chemistry Toxicology, 46: 446-475.
- Berkov, S. and Zayed, R. (2004). Comparison of Trophane alkaloid spectra between *Datura innoxia* grown in Egypt and Bulgaria. *Zeitschrift for Nature Ferschung*, 89: 184-186.
- CDC (Centers for Disease Control) (2004).

 Anopheles mosquitoes: Malaria.

 http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dvbid/arbor/albo
 pic-new.htm (20th March, 2007). 1-6pp.
- Chakkaravarthy, V.M., Ambrose, T., Vincent, S., Arunachalam, R., Paulraj, M.G., Ignacimuth, S. and Annadurai, G. (2011). Bioefficacy of *Azadirachta indica* (A.Juss) and *Datura metal* (Linn.) leaves extracts in controlling *Culex quinquefasciatus* Diptera: Culicidae). *Journal of Entomology*, 2: 1-7.
- Consoli, R.A.G., Mendes, N.M., Pereira, J.P. and Santos, B.S. (1989). Influence of several plants extracts on the oviposition behavior of *Aedes fluviatalis* (Lutz) (Diptera: Culicidae) in the laboratory. Memos Institute Oswaldo Cruz, 84: 47-51.
- Conti, B., Leonardi, M., Pistelli, L., Profeti, R., Ouerghemmi, I., Benelli, G. (2013). Larvicidal and repellent activity of essential oils from wild and cultivated *Ruta chalepensis* L. (Rutaceae) against *Aedes albopictus* Skuse (Diptera: Culicidae), an arbovirus vector. *Parasitology Research*, 112: 991-999.
- Ghosh, A., Chowdhury, N. and Chandra, G. (2012). Plant extracts as potential mosquito larvicides. *Indian Journal of Medical Research*, 135: 581-598.

- Gillette, S.D. (1972). Common Africa mosquitoes and their medicinal importance. William Heinemann Medical Books Ltd. London. 96-99pp.
- Gopieshkhanna, V. K., and Kannabiran, K. (2007). Larvicidal effect of *Hemidesmus indicus*, Gymnema Sylvestre, and *Eclipta prostrate* against *Culex quinquefastiatus mosquito* larvae. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 6(3): 307-311.
- **Habeeb, S.M. (2010).** Ethno-Veterinary and medical knowledge of crude plant extracts and its methods of application (Traditional and Modern) for tick control. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 11(9): 1047-1054.
- Hadjiakhoondi, A., Vatandoost, H., Khanavi, M. and Reza, M.A. (2005). Biochemical investigation of different extracts and larvicidal activity of *Targets minuta* L. on *Anopheles stephensi* larvae. *Iranian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 1(2): 81-84.
- Harrison, B.A. (2005). Field identification of adult and larval mosquitoes. Available on line at http://www-rciorutgers. Edu/2. insects/mosq/life.htm. (accessed August 2017).
- Ima, A. B., Cicero, B.M. Alexandre, A.P. and Denise, V. (2005). Evaluation of methoprene effect on *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Cullicidae) development in laboratory conditions. *Memorias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz*, 100 (4): 1-17.
- **Klock, J.A.** (1987). Natural plant compounds useful in insect control. *American Chemical Society*, 330: 396-415.
- Kovendan, K., Murugan, K. and Vincent, S. (2012). Evaluation of larvicidal activity of *Acalypha alnifolia* Kleinex Willd. (Euphorbiaceae) leaf extract against the malarial vector, *Anopheles stephensi*, dengue vector, *Aedes aegypti* and Bancroftian filariasis vector, *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Parasitology Research*, 110: 571-81.
- Kumar, A.N. and Murugan, K. (2011). Antimalarial and antivectoral activities of traditionally used indigenous medicinal plants. *Advances in environmental Biology*, 5(4): 407-412.

- Kumar, M.S. and Maneemegalai, S. (2008). Evaluation of larvicidal effect of *Lantara* camara Linn. Against mosquito species *Aedes aegypti* and *Culex quinquefasciatus*. *Advances in Biological Research*, 2 (3-4): 39-43.
- Kumar, S., Mishra, M., Wahab, N., Warikoo, R. (2014). Larvicidal, repellent, and irritant potential of the seed-derived essential oil of *Apium graveolens* against dengue vector, *Aedes aegypti* L. (Diptera: Culicidae) Front. *Public Health*, 2: 147-152.
- Kutama, A.D., Mohammaed, A.S. and Kiyawa, A.S. (2010). Hallucinogenic effect of *Datura metal* L. leaf extract in albino rats. *Bioscience Research Communications*, 22(4): 215-220.
- Macedo, M.E., Rotraut; A.G.B. and Consoli (1997). Screening of Asteraceae (Compositae) plant extracts for larvicidal activity against *Aedes fluviatilis* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Memoes. Institude. Oswaldo Cruz. Rio de Janeiro*, 92(4): 565-570.
- Mdoe, F.P., Cheng, S.S., Lyaruu, L., Nkwengulila, G., Chang, S.T., Kweka, E.J. (2014). Larvicidal efficacy of *Cryptomeria japonica* leaf essential oils against *Anopheles gambiae*. *Parasite Vector*, 7: 426.
- Medlock, J.M., Hansford, K.M., Schaner, F., Versteirt, V., Hendrickx, G., Zeller, H. and Bortel, W.V. (2012). A review of the invasive mosquitoes in Europe: Ecology, public health risks, and control options. *Vector-Borne Zoonotic Disease*, 12: 435-447.
- Michele, M.C. and O'Meara, G.F. (2008). Quick Guid to Mosquito Genera (Larva-Adult). Florida Medical Entomology Laboratory, University of Florida. 1-83pp.
- Ogundare, A.O. Adetuyi, F.C. and Akinyosoye, F.A. (2006). Antimicrobial activity of *Vernonia tenoreana*. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 5(18):1663-1668.
- Ohia, C.M.D. and Ana, G.R.E.E. (2015). Bioinsecticides: the one- health response to mosquito borne diseases of public health importance. *Journal of Biology, Agriculture* and Healthcare, 5: 22-26.

- Patric, W.M. and Arwin. V. P. (1983). *Biological notes on mosquitoes*. John and Bartlett Publishers, USA,1-5pp.
- **Pavela, R. (2015).** Acute toxicity and synergistic and antagonistic effects of the aromatic compounds of some essential oils against *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say larvae. *Parasitology Research*, 114: 3835-3853.
- **Pelah D., Abramouvich, Z., Markus, A. and Wiesman, Z. (2002).** The use of commercial saponin from *Quillaja saponaria* bark as a natural larvicidal agent against *Aedes aegypti* and *Culex pipiens. Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 81(3): 407-409.
- **Philip, C.B.** (1931). List of mosquitoes collected in Nigeria, West Africa, incidental to research on Yellow fever. *Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington*, 33(2): 44-47.
- Reinert, J.F. (2000). New classifications for the composite genus *Aedes* (Diptera: Culicidae: Aedinii), elevation of subgenus *Ochlerotatus* to generic rank, reclassification of the other subgenera and notes on certain subspecies. *Journal of American Mosquito Control Association*, 16: 175-188.
- Riberiro, V.L., Toigo, E., Borchignon, S.A., Goncalves, K. and Von Poser, G. (2007). Acaricidal properties of extracts from the aerial parts of *Hypericum polyanthemum* on the cattle tick *Boophilus microplus*. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 147(1-2): 199-203.
- **Rice, E. L. (1983).** Pest control with natures's chemicals. University of Oklahoma Press, Norman Ok. 136-137pp.
- Rizvi, S.A.H., Tao, L., Zeng, X. (2018). Chemical composition of essential oil obtained from *Artemesia absinthium* L. grown under the climatic condition of Skardu Baltistan of Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*, 50: 599-604.
- Robinson, W.H. (1995). *Urban Entomology: Insect and mite pests in the human environment.* Chapman and Hall 303-306pp.
- **Service, M.W.** (1963). The ecology of mosquitoes of the Northern Guinea

- savannah. Journal of Royal Entomology Society, 601-621.
- Shakeel, M., Farooq, M., Nasim, W., Akram, W., Khan, F.Z.A., Jaleel, W., Zhu, X., Yin, H., Li, S., Fahad, S. (2017). Environment polluting conventional chemical control compared to an environmentally friendly IPM approach for control of diamondback moth, Plutella xylostella (L.), in China: A review. *Environmental Science Pollution Research*, 24: 14537-14550.
- **Statplus (2009).** Statplus Professional Version 2009 for Windows builds 5.8.4.3 C. 2010 AnalystSoft Inc. USA.
- Sujathar C.H Vasuki, V., Mariappan, T., Kalyanasundaran, M. and Das, P.K. (1988). Evaluation of Plant extracts for biological activity against mosquitoes. *International Pest Control*, 30: 122-124.
- **Thomas, A., Mazigo, H.D., Manjurano, A., Morona, D., Kweka, E.J.** (2017).

 Evaluation of active ingredients and larvicidal activity of clove and cinnamon essential oils against *Anopheles gambiae* (sensu lato). *Parasite Vector*, 10, 411-416.
- Vatandoost, H. and Vaziri, V.M. (2004). Larvicidal activity of a neem tree extract (Neemarin) against mosquito larvae in the Islamic Republic of Iran. *Tehran University of Medical Sciences*, 10 (4-5): 573-581.

- World Health Organization (2006). Malaria Entomology and Vector Control. *Communicable Diseases Cluster WHO*, 48-90.
- Wiesman, Z. and Chapagan, B.P (2006). Larvicidal activity of the fruit mesocarp extract of *Balanitis aegyptiaca* and its saponin fraction against *Aedes aegypti. Dengue Bulletin*, 29: 203-207.
- Yahaya, M.M. and Ruqayya, I.I. (2013). Evaluation of hexanolic extracts of *Ricinus communis* (L.) kernel against the larvae of *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say (Diptera: Culicidae). *International Journal of Applied Research and Technology*, 2: 172-179.



NJE Vol. 36: 11-27, 2021 Nigerian Journal of Entomology Published by the Entomological Soc. of Nig. www.esnjournal.com.ng DOI.10.36108/NJE/1202/73.0120