

Impact of Commercial *Beauveria bassiana* Application on Cucumber (*Cucumis Sativus* L.) Insect Pests, Pollinator Densities and Some Growth and Fruit Parameters

Oguniyi, B.A.,¹ Filani, C.O.,^{1*} Pitan, O.R.¹ and Kehinde, I.A.²

¹Department of Crop Protection, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Abeokuta, Ogun State, Nigeria ²Department of Pure and Applied Zoology, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Ogun State, Nigeria

Abstract

A field trial was conducted in 2021 and 2022 at the Experimental Farm, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta to establish the bio-efficacy of Beauveria bassiana on the abundance of pests, beneficial insects, agronomic characteristics, storability and fruit quality of cucumber. The experiment was designed using a 4 x 3 factorial arrangement in a Randomized Complete Block Design and replicated three times. The treatment comprised four Beauveria bassiana (FIXIT-GATM) concentrations (8.00, 6.00, 4.00 and 2.00 kg/ha) applied at three different spray frequencies (spray once a-week, once in two-weeks and once in three-weeks) along with the untreated control. The results indicated that the densities of Aulacophora hilaris, Epilachna chrysomelina, and Bactrocera cucurbitae were significantly reduced in B. bassiana-sprayed plots compared to unsprayed ones in both seasons. Higher densities (6.33 and 5.33) of Apis mellifera were observed in plots sprayed at 8.00 kg/ha once a week than the unsprayed plots (2.00 and 0.33). The agronomic traits significantly increased when B. bassiana was sprayed once a- week at a concentration of 8.00 kg/ha compared to other treatments. At 8.00, 6.00, and 4.00 kg/ha of B. bassiana applied once a week, cucumber fruits showed an extended shelf life, lasting between 13 to 15 days before deterioration. In contrast, cucumber fruits sprayed at 2.00 kg/ha and untreated plots deteriorated faster, within 1 to 3 days. Furthermore, the nutritional composition of fruits from the untreated plots was comparable to that of fruits from the treated plots.

Keywords: Beauveria bassiana, cucumber, fruit quality insect pests, pollinators.

INTRODUCTION

Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) is a cucurbit cultivated in both temperate and tropical regions of the world. It is one of the global top twenty most significant vegetable crops according to FAOSTAT (2008). It is known for its antibacterial, antimicrobial, and antifungal properties, along with exhibiting antioxidant and hypoglycemic activities. According to Randall (2004), cucumber thrives in warm and dry climates, making it susceptible to a diverse array of insect pests throughout its growth stages, starting from the seedling phase

*Corresponding author's e- mail: <u>filanico@funaab.edu.ng;</u> +2347034734534 ORCID NUMBER: 0009-0009-8823-6440 persisting until fruit production. Insect pests pose their greatest threat during the fruiting stage of cucumber causing a substantial yield loss of approximately 60 % (Alalade, 2005). Beyond the direct impact on yield, insect attack also renders cucumber fruits less appealing to consumers. Many insect pests, in addition to causing direct damage, also serve as vectors for a variety of viral diseases (Kuhar and Speese, 2004).

Podagrica uniforma, Bactrocera cucurbitae, Aphis gossypii, Bemisia tabaci, Bactrocera invadens, Epilachna chrysomelina, Myzus persicae, Aulacophora foveicollis, Aulacophora nigripennis, and Aulacophora hilaris are major cucumber insect pests earlier reported in southwestern Nigeria (Pitan and Filani, 2013; Adeoti et al., 2023). Adults P. uniforma chew small circular holes in leaves resulting in a distinctive sieve-like appearance. A. gossypii, M. persicae, and B. tabaci predominantly inhabit the lower leaf surfaces, where they engage in sap-sucking activities. They also serve as vectors of pathogen particularly cucumber mosaic virus, when present in high populations (Pitan and Filani 2014). Adults and larvae *E. chrysomelina* feed on cucumber leaves, flowers and fruits. Damage by beetles to cucumber fruits is characterized by the presence of grooves, scarification, and patches (Pitan and Filani, 2013). Dacus and Bactrocera spp. inflict damage to fruits through oviposition, when eggs are laid on the fruits. Maggots that hatch from the eggs develop inside the fruits, feeding on its interior. The feeding activity leads to sunken, discolored patches, distortions, and open cracks on the fruit's surface. These cracks, once formed, can serve as entry points for fungi and bacteria, subsequently causing fruit rot. The distinctive characteristics of this damage not only reduce the quantity of the fruits but also reduce their market value. The larvae (maggots) develop internally, making it a challenge to control this pest, rendering them impervious to external insecticide applications (Pitan and Filani (2014).

Adults of A. vittatum feed on flowers, leaves and fruits, but they feed mainly feed on the undersides of developing fruits in warm periods (Hoffmann and Zitter, 1994). Male adult A. vittatum attract more individuals into the feeding area by releasing an aggregation pheromone (Boucher, 2003). They also serve as primary vector for bacterial wilt disease in cucurbits (Radin, 1996). E. chrysomelina feeds on cucumber leaves creating an irregular pattern on the lower epidermal tissues. They scrap the green chlorophyll component of leaves which results in skeletonization of leaf lamina. (Khan et al., 2000). These damages have a detrimental effect on the host plant's vegetative production, which in turn affects plant growth and yield (Awadalla et al., 2011).

The current approach to insect pest management on cucumber continues to heavily rely on chemical control methods (Jinadu, 2005). However, there is a significant concern associated with the use of conventional insecticides – the development of resistance by insect pests. This phenomenon poses a substantial risk as it greatly affects production economics and insecticide usage. There are also the real and perceived risks to human health and environment. The negative impact of conventional insecticide, have created a demand for a safe, cheap, eco-friendly and reliable alternative control method (McKinlay, 1992). A biological control that adopts the utilization of an eco-friendly bio-pesticide, Beauveria bassiana, remains an interesting alternative method for the control of insect pests on our vegetable crops (Sewify et al., 2009). The entomopathogenic fungus B. bassiana manages to regulate insects by initiating contact with the body of an insect host through its microscopic spores. Once in contact, these spores germinate, penetrate the insect's cuticle, and develop internally, ultimately causing the insect's death within a few days. Subsequently, a white mold emerges from the insect carcass, generating fresh spores. The fungus that grows out of the carcass of killed insects kill other insects thus making the fungus to be highly virulent. (Kaoud, 2010). B. bassiana isolates differ in their host range while a wide range of insects can be attacked by a typical isolate (Mul *et al.*, 2009).

Beauveria bassiana does not affect human beings or animals thus making it a safe insecticide. Posada and Vega (2006), Ownley *et al.* (2008), Akello *et al.* (2009), Jaber, (2015) and Nwilene *et al.* (2023) documented the effectiveness of *B. bassiana* against insect pests in crops such as cocoa, tomato, grapes, banana and rice. The objective of the study, therefore was to evaluate the bio-efficacy of commercial *B. bassiana* on insect pests, agronomic characteristics, storability, and fruit quality of cucumber production in Nigeria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted at the experimental farm of the Department of Crop Protection, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta (17°12'54"N-7°19'16"N; 3°20'24"E and 3°27'86"E) during the early growing season of 2021 and late growing season of 2022.

Source of Experimental Materials

A fungus strain, *Beauveria bassiana* CGA IPFBS- 012 under a trade name FIXIT-GA (1.15% WP/ Greenfields Company) and cucumber variety, 'Saira F1' were obtained from a reputable agrochemical company in Abeokuta, Ogun State.

Experimental Design

A Randomized Complete Block Design with a 4×3 factors scheme replicated three times was used. The experiment was made up of two factors, *B. bassiana* (FIXIT-GATM)

concentration and *B. bassiana* spray frequency. It comprised four (4) levels of *B. bassiana* (FIXIT-GATM) concentration (8.00, 6.00, 4.00 and 2.00 kg/ha), three (3) spray frequencies of *B. bassiana* (spray once a-week, once in two-weeks and once in three-weeks) and untreated plot (no spray). The entire study area was 530 m² while a dimension of 3 m x 2 m was used for plots with a 2 m border.

Planting Operations and Treatment Application Cucumber field was established by planting cucumber seeds at the spacing of $1 \text{ m} \times 1 \text{ m}$. After germination, cucumber seedlings were thinned to one seedling per hill at 14 days after planting (14 DAP) cucumber. Weeding of cucumber plots was carried out manually using hoes and cutlasses once in two weeks. Fertilizers and herbicides were not applied to cucumber plants. The fungus strain (1.25 kg) was prepared in 500 litres of water to form a concentration of 2 x 10^8 CFU/gm min (manufacturer recommendation) and applied two weeks after planting cucumber. The prepared fungus strain was applied between 6.30 -7.30 a.m. (local time) at weekly intervals till fruit maturity with the aid of four (4) litres volume capacity hand-held sprayer.

Data Collection

Assessment of different insect species encountered on cucumber plants and their numbers was carried out at weekly intervals between 7.30 - 9.30 a.m. Sampling by visual counting was on five selected plants within the central rows of each plot. Samples of insects from the cucumber experimental plots were preserved in70 % ethanol. Sampled insects were air-dried and mounted in insect box at the laboratory. Insect samples were taken to the Insect Museum of the Department of Crop Protection and Environmental Biology (CPEB), University of Ibadan, Ibadan for proper identification by taxonomist.

Harvesting of cucumber fruits began 8 WAP and lasted till 10 WAP. Matured cucumber fruits harvested from 10 selected tagged plants in each plot were sorted into damaged and undamaged fruits. A fruit damaged by *Epilachna* beetles was characterized by the presence of grooves on fruits. Cucumber fruits showing exit holes or tunnels along its length with frass contamination is considered damaged by fruit fly. Data on growth characteristics of cucumber plants viz number of leaves, vine length, number of flowers collected at 5 - 6 WAP cucumber. Undamaged cucumber fruits were counted and weighed for marketable yield evaluation while the damaged were used for damage estimation.

Proximate Analyses

Proximate analysis of cucumber fruit samples from each treatment was done in the laboratory using Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) method (2021). The parameters analyzed were; protein, fat, crude fibre, moisture, ash, dry matter, fat and carbohydrate contents of the cucumber fruits. Data Analysis

Insect population density and percentage values were normalized by use of square root and arcsine transformations respectively. Data on insect population density, yield, damage and growth characteristic were subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) while Student Newman Keul's (SNK)Test was used for means separation. Cucumber fruits from each treatment were stored at room temperature (20-22°C) and days to deterioration were noted.

RESULTS

Cucumbers subjected to once a-week spray of Beauveria bassiana at rates of 8.00, 6.00, 4.00, and 2.00 kg/ha exhibited significantly lower population densities of Aulacophora hilaris and Epilachna chrysomelina compared with the untreated control had the highest population densities of these insect pests in 2021 and 2022 seasons. In 2021 and 2022 planting seasons, plots sprayed at a rate of 8.00 kg/ha, also recorded higher population densities of Apis *mellifera* compared to the control plots, which had fewer numbers of the insect (Table 1). Number of leaves, vine length, number of flowers and fruits produced were significantly affected by *B. bassiana* application rate and frequency in 2021 late season. The percentage increase in vine length and flower were higher in plots sprayed once a-week at 8.00 kg/ha than other sprayed plots in 2021. Also, the percent increase in fruit was higher (p < 0.05) in the plots sprayed once a-week at 8.00, 6.00, 4.00 and 2.00 kg/ha of *B. bassiana* concentrations compared to plots sprayed at other rates once in two-weeks and once in three-weeks (Table 2).

Similarly, percent increase in vine length and flower were significantly higher in the plot sprayed at 8.00 kg/ha once a-week compared to the other treated plots in 2022. Also, plots sprayed at 8.00, 6.00, 4.00 and 2.00 kg/ha once a-week had the highest fruit increase relative to plots sprayed once in two-weeks and threeweeks at different rates in 2022 (Table 3). Cucumber fruits sprayed at 2.00 kg/ha once in three-weeks and the untreated plot deteriorated between 1-3 days after harvesting, while fruits sprayed once a-week with 8.00, 6.00 and 4.00 kg/ha *B. bassiana* concentrations took longer time (between 13-15 days) after harvesting to deteriorate (Table 4). Also, the nutritional compositions of cucumber fruits sprayed at 8.00 and 4.00 kg/ha concentrations of *B. bassiana* once a-week was similar to unsprayed cucumber (Table 5).

late seas	on, 2	021 and (early sease	on, 2022					
			Late season,	2021		Early	season, 2022		
Frequency	Rate (kg/ ha)	Aulacophora hilaris	Epilachna chrysomelina	Bactrocera cucurbitae	Apis Mellifera	Aulacophora hilaris	Epilachna chrysomelina	Bactrocera cucurbitae	Apis Mellifer a
Once	8.00	0.33e	2.10f	0.33c	6.330a	1.33e	3.00f	0.33c	5.33a
a-week	6.00	1.00e	3.02f	0.67bc	5.00a-c	2.00e	4.00f	0.67bc	4.00a-c
	4.00	0.67e	2.33f	1.00bc	5.33ab	1.67e	3.33f	1.00bc	4.33ab
	2.00	1.00e	3.40f	0.67bc	5.00a-c	2.00e	4.00f	0.67bc	4.00a-c
Once-in	8.00	3.00d	7.30de	2.00bc	3.33ab	4.00d	8.00de	2.00bc	2.33a-c
Two-weeks	6.00	4.33cd	6.00e	2.67a-c	4.33a-c	5.33cd	7.00e	2.67a-c	3.33a-c
	4.00	4.33cd	6.02e	2.67a-c	3.33а-с	5.33cd	7.00e	2.67a-c	2.33a-c
	2.00	6.33b	8.67c	3.00a-c	3.67а-с	7.33b	9.67c	3.00a-c	2.67а-с
Once-in	8.00	3.33d	8.03cd	2.67a-c	2.33bc	4.33d	9.00cd	2.67a-c	1.00bc
Three-weeks	6.00	4.67cd	7.40de	1.33bc	2.67a-c	5.67cd	8.00d-e	1.33bc	1.67a-c
	4.00	5.67bc	8.33cd	3.00a-c	2.67a-c	6.67bc	9.33cd	3.00a-c	1.67a-c
	2.00	7.00b	10.33b	3.67ab	3.00a-c	8.00b	11.33b	3.67ab	2.00a-c
Control	0	10.33a	13.10a	5.00a	2.00c	11.33a	14.0a	5.00a	0.33c
Mean value probabili	es with ty, us	the same al ing SNK	phabets along at p<0.05	the column	l are not signifi	cantly differer	it at 5%		

170

of Beauve.	ria bassi	iana in the	late season,	2021							
Frequency	Rate (kg/ ha)	Number of Leaves	Increase in Leave (%)	Vine length (cm)	Increase in Vine Length (%)	Number of Flowers	Increase in Flower (%)	Number of Fruits/plant	Fruit Increase (%)	Fruit weight (kg)	Weight Increase (%)
Once	8.00	31.93a	97.10a	92.23a	155.27a	11.93a	258.26a	3.85a	64.52a	0.25a	316.67a
a-week	6.00	29.80ab	83.95ab	73.84b	104.37b	9.60bc	172.7bc	3.60ab	53.85ab	0.21c	250.00c
	4.00	27.87ab	72.04ab	74.37b	105.84b	9.90b	197.30b	3.75a	60.26a	0.22b	266.67b
	2.00	26.93ab	66.24ab	58.60c	62.19c	9.33b-d	180.18b-d	3.66a	56.41a	0.18d	200.00d
Once-in	8.00	24.53a-c	51.42a-c	44.40c	22.89c	9.10b-d	173.27b-d	3.00de	28.21de	0.16ef	166.67ef
Two-weeks	6.00	26.10ab	61.11ab	54.53c	50.93c	7.20c-f	116.22c-f	2.85e	21.79e	0.21d	250.00d
	4.00	23.90a-c	47.53a-c	41.17c	13.95c	8.57b-e	157.36b-e	2.95de	26.06de	0.17e	183.33e
	2.00	21.77b-d	34.38b-d	42.17c	16.72c	6.17ef	85.29ef	3.05de	30.34de	0.12gh	100.00gh
Once-in	8.00	21.77b-d	34.38b-d	41.87c	15.89c	6.68d-f	100.60d-f	3.40bc	45.30bc	0.11f-h	83.33f-h
Three- weeks	6.00	22.10bc	36.42bc	46.33c	28.23c	5.87f	76.28f	3.24cd	38.46cd	0.14e-g	133.33e-g
	4.00	22.70bc	40.12bc	49.00c	35.51c	5.83ef	75.07ef	2.98de	27.35de	0.12gh	100.00gh
	2.00	23.70a-c	46.30a-c	48.87c	25.13c	5.27f	58.26f	2.75e	17.52e	0.11hi	83.33hi
Control	0	16.20c	ı	36.13c	ı	3.33g	ı	2.34f	·	0.06i	ı
Mean valu	es with	the same alt	ohabets along	gt he col	umn are not	t significantl	y different at 5^{0}	0			

probability, using SNK at p<0.05

Table 2: Growth characteristics of cucumber at different application rates and frequencies

s and frequencies	
application rates	
at different	
cucumber	
s of	,
aracteristic	
ı ch	•
wt	,
Gro	•
Table 3:	1

of Beauver	ia bassi.	<i>una</i> in the ea	urly season, 20	22							
Frequency	Rate (kg/ ha)	Number of Leaves	Increase in Leaves (%)	Vine length (cm)	Increase in Vine Length (%)	Number of Flowers	Increase in Flower (%)	Number of Fruits/plant	Fruit Increase (%)	Fruit weight (kg)	Weight Increase (%)
Once	8.00	20.80a	171.27a	62.27a	150.38a	10.93a	238.39a	3.25a	86.75a	0.27a	237.50a
a-week	6.00	18.93ab	146.81ab	54.03b	117.25b	8.33bc	157.89bc	3.05a	75.29a	0.21c	162.50c
	4.00	18.07a-c	135.59a-c	44.37c	78.41c	9.60b	197.21b	3.06ab	75.86ab	0.24b	200.00b
	2.00	17.03b-d	122.03b-d	40.57c-d	63.13c-d	8.33bc	157.89bc	3.00ab	72.41ab	0.18d	125.00d
Once-in	8.00	15.83b-e	106.39b-e	38.47c-e	54.68c-e	8.10bc	150.77bc	2.53c-e	45.40c-e	0.15ef	87.50ef
Two-weeks	6.00	18.10a-c	135.98a-c	31.20ef	25.45ef	6.53cd	102.17cd	2.25e	29.31e	0.23d	187.50d
	4.00	14.83c-e	193.35c-e	29.53f	18.74f	8.23bc	154.80bc	2.46c-e	41.38c-e	0.16e	100.00e
	2.00	15.90b-e	107.20b-e	29.34f	17.97f	6.48c-d	100.62c-d	2.45c-e	40.80c-e	0.11gh	37.50gh
Once-in	8.00	13.93d-e	79.51d-e	33.53d-f	34.82d-f	6.37c-d	97.21c-d	2.8bc	60.92bc	0.09f-h	12.5f-h
Three-weeks	6.00	12.77e	66.49e	27.17f	9.25f	5.87c-d	81.73c-d	2.64cd	51.72cd	0.13e-g	62.5e-g
	4.00	12.10e	57.76d-e	31.93ef	28.39ef	5.67c-d	75.54c-d	2.38de	36.78de	0.11gh	37.50gh
	2.00	13.27d-e	73.01f	28.87f	16.87f	4.83d-e	49.53d-e	2.15e	23.56e	0.10hi	25.00hi
Control	0	7.67f	ı	24.87f	I	3.23e	ı	1.74f	ı	0.08i	·
Mean value probability,	es with using S	the same alp NK at p<0.05	habets along t 5	the column	are not signifi	cantly differ	ent at 5%				

		•	-			
				Days to Deterior	ation	
Frequency	Rate	1-3	4-6	7-9	10-12	13-15
	(kg/					
	ha)					
Once	8.00	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Deteriorated
a-week	6.00	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Deteriorated
	4.00	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Deteriorated
	2.00	Nil	Nil	Nil	Deteriorated	**
Once-in	8.00	Nil	Nil	Deteriorated	**	**
Two-	6.00	Nil	Nil	Deteriorated	**	**
weeks						
	4.00	Nil	Nil	Deteriorated	**	**
	2.00	Nil	Deteriorated	**	**	**
Once-in	8.00	Nil	Deteriorated	**	**	**
Three-	6.00	Nil	Deteriorated	**	**	**
weeks						
	4.00	Nil	Deteriorated	**	**	**
	2.00	Deteriorated	**	**	**	**
	0	Deteriorated	**	**	**	**

Table 4: Days to deterioration of cucumber fruits grown at different application rates and frequencies of *Beauveria bassiana*

Cucumber fruits stored at normal room temperature (20-22⁰C) and observed daily.

**-Cucumber fruits that have deteriorated in previous days.

Table 5: Proximate composition of cucumber	fruits grown at different applicati	on rates and
frequencies of Beauveria bassiana		

Nutritional	100%	(spray	50 % (spray once	No-	LSD	Remarks
Composition	once a-v	veek)	a-week)	Spray	(0.05)	
Moisture content	97.87		97.59	97.65	1.12	Not significant
Dry matter content	2.13		2.41	2.35	1.01	Not significant
Fat content	0.08		0.08	0.07	0.11	Not significant
Ash content	0.14		0.14	0.15	0.22	Not significant
Crude fiber content	0.57		0.57	0.61	0.31	Not significant
Crude protein content	0.21		0.21	0.25	0.12	Not significant
Carbohydrate content	1.13		1.13	1.29	0.26	Not significant

DISCUSSION

Leaf beetles, *Aulacophora hilaris, Epilachna chrysomelina* and melon fruit fly, *Bactrocera cucurbitae* are the major damaging insect pests encountered on cucumber in this study. Studies conducted by Choudhary *et al.* (2012) reveals that melon fruit fly (*B. cucurbitae* Coq.) is a serious pest of cucurbits and Krishna Kumar *et al.* (2006) also attributed 73.83 % damage on cucumber to melon fruit fly (Vinutha and Kotikar, 2018). Khan *et al.* (2012) implicated *A. hilaris* as a serious pest of cucurbits causing up to 70 % damage on leaves and 60 % damage on flowers of cucumber.

As reported by Katoh et al. (2014), E. chrysomelina, is identified as a damaging pest of considerable economic significance, particularly in the tropics and subtropics. This beetle poses a threat to crops in the Cucurbitaceae and Solanaceae Families. Studies by Ahmad et al. (2001) Das et al. (2012) and Pitan and Filani (2013) documented its attacks on cucumber, pumpkin, melon, garden egg, potato, and gourd. Both larvae and adults *E. chrysomelina* cause damage by scraping the epidermal tissues of leaves. According to Mondal and Ghatak (2009), feeding behavior of E. chrysomelina results in a reduction of leaf area available which adversely affects photosynthetic activities of the host plant.

Adult *Epilachna* also serve as a vector for squash and cucumber mosaic virus, resulting in fruit misshapen, yellowing, and distortions (Aldigail *et al.*, 2012). According to Wioletta and Karol (2016), impact of *Epilachna* attack in cucumber cultivation is substantial, with 80 % yield losses on cucurbits and 70 – 90 % reduction in cucumber yields. (Akinkunmi, 2020). Ahmad *et al.* (2001), Rath (2005), Pitan and Esan (2013), and Pitan and Filani (2013) recognized *Epilachna* beetle as a major biotic stressor of cucumber.

In this study, application of *B. bassiana* at different concentrations and spraying frequencies effectively reduced insect pest infestation on cucumber, particularly *A. hilaris, E. chrysomelina,* and *B. cucurbitae.* This highlights the potential of this bio-pesticide for integrated pest management in cucumber production. The effectiveness of this fungus may arise from its ability to attach spores to the insect's body and then penetrate the epicuticle, triggering the development of germ tubes which could result in the colonization of the insect's body, ultimately resulting in the insect's death. This is consistent with a report by Nwilene *et al.* (2023), that application of *B.* *bassiana* effectively reduced African rice gall midge tiller infestation in rice fields. Also, the application *B. bassiana* at different rates and frequencies has positive effect on cucumber growth characteristics. This is in conformity with the report of Akello *et al.* (2007) that *B. bassiana* influenced plant height and root length of banana.

Dara et al. (2017) demonstrated that the use of biopesticides especially *B. bassiana* positively impacted the survival, growth, length, and dry weight of cabbage. Conversely, Jaber and Enkerli (2017) opined that there is a lack of consistency in the promotion of plant growth when plants are inoculated with entomopathogenic fungi. The notable enhancement in the growth characteristics of cucumber plants, observed in this study with varied rates and frequencies of B. bassiana treatment, could be attributed to the findings of Dara (2014). This suggested that insects cause significant nutrient loss to plants through their feeding damage, but plants have developed a mechanism to recover these nutrients, particularly nitrogen, through endophytic interactions with entomopathogenic fungi. Regardless of the presence of insects in the soil, plants may benefit from forming an endophytic or mycorrhiza-like relationship with soil-borne entomopathogens (Dara, 2014). Vega, 2018 opined that entomopathogenic fungi act as endophytes and aid plant growth through enhanced nutrient uptake.

Entomopathogenic fungi have been demonstrated to establish mycorrhiza-like interactions and play a role in the absorption of water and nutrients (Dara, 2019). According to Dara *et al.* (2017), these roles of entomopathogenic fungi are beneficial to plants. The findings of this study are in agreement with the report of Tall and Meyling (2018) that maize sprayed with *B. bassiana* had enhanced plant growth, with longer roots and higher biomass. Additionally, Canassa *et al.* (2019) reported bean seed inoculation with conidial suspensions of *B. bassiana* to improve growth compared to untreated plot.

In addition, Behie *et al.* (2012) disclosed that entomopathogenic fungi can translocate plant nitrogen obtained from insect material. On the other hand, microorganisms, including entomopathogenic fungi, enhances soil structure, fertility, and porosity (Inthama *et al.*, 2021). Also, highest population densities of *Apis mellifera* were obtained in plots sprayed once a-week at 8.00 kg/ha. This implies that *B. bassiana* has no adverse effect on the abundance of beneficial insect pests. This is in consonance with the findings of Zimmermann (2007) that *B. bassiana* application has no negative effect on honeybees' population. Earlier reports have also established that B. bassiana can be used with minimal impact on beneficial organisms. Despite the susceptibility of many hymenopterans to B. bassiana, the different application rates of B bassiana used in the study did not adversely affect honey bees. This is in line with the report of Lord (2005). Furthermore, B. bassiana does not have negative effect on the fruit nutritional composition and days to deterioration of cucumber fruits in the study. This suggests that B. bassiana application as a bio-pesticide is comparatively safe to cucumber plants because it is derived from micro-organisms. Hence, B. bassiana can be effectively utilized in the control of cucumber insect pests to reduce their infestations, improve growth characteristics and also to produce a more attractive cucumber fruit with no traces of poisonous substance in it. The use of *B. bassiana* as a control measure in cucumber production to regulate pest population is a promising alternative to conventional insecticides. The effectiveness of this bio-pesticide may be attributed to its unique and novel mode of action.

CONCLUSION

Application of Beauveria bassiana as a biocontrol agent offers a better alternative for the control of cucumber insect pests. In this study, different application rates and frequencies of B. bassiana gave a reduction in the densities of major cucumber insect pests. Notably, the application of *B. bassiana* did not exhibit any adverse effects on the abundance of beneficial insect pests associated with cucumber. Also, B. bassiana has a positive effect on the agronomic characteristics, storability and fruit quality of cucumber fruits. of a negative impact by B. bassiana on honeybees has been observed in previous work which involved different response variables (Jaronski et al., 2004 A lack of a negative impact by B. bassiana on honeybees has been observed in previous work which involved different response variables (Jaronski et al., 2004). A lack of a negative impact by B. bassiana on honeybees has been observed in previous work which involved different response variables (JaroChoudhary, J. S., Kumari, A., Das, B., Maurya, S. and Kumar, S. 2012. Diversity and population dynamic of fruit flies species in methyl eugenol based parapheromone traps in Jharkhand region of India. The Ecoscan. 1: 57-60.

REFERENCES

- Adeoti, A. O., Pitan O.O.R., Filani C. O., Osipitan A.A., and Makinde E.A. (2023). Effect of Plant Spacing On The Density Of Cucumber Insect Pests On Two Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) Varieties. Nigerian Journal of Horticultural Science 27 (1)106-115
- Ahmad, M., Ahmad, M. D. J., Afroze, S. and Mishra, R. K. (2001). First record of coccinellid beetles (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on poplar, *Populus deltoides* from north India. *Indian Forester* 127 (8): 891-897.
- Akello, J., Dubois, T., Coyne, D. and Hillnhutter, C. (2009). Beauveria bassiana as an endophyte in tissuecultured banana plants: a novel way to combat the banana weevil Cosmopolites sordidus. In International Symposium on Banana: ISHS-ProMusa Symposium on Recent Advances in Banana Crop Protection for Sustainable 828: 129-138.
- Akello, J., Dubois, T., Gold, C. S., Coyne, D., Nakavuma, J. and Paparu, P. (2007). Beauveria bassiana (Balsamo) Vuillemin as an endophyte in tissue culture banana (Musa spp.). Journal of Invertebrate. Pathology 96 (1): 34-42.
- Akinkunmi, O. Y. (2020). Bioecology of Epilachna chrysomelina Fabricius (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on five vegetable hosts in a rain forest transition location of Nigeria and control with botanicals. (Ph. D Dissertation) Department of Crop Protection, College of Plant Science and Crop Production, Federal University of Agriculture Abeokuta, Nigeria.
- Alalade C. O. (2005). Effect of lambdacyhalothrin sprayed at different growth stages on insect pests of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) in Abeokuta, southwestern Nigeria. Bachelor of Agriculture Dissertation. Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Nigeria pp 35.
- Aldigail, S. A., Alsaggaff, A. I., Bahareth, O. M. and Al-azab, A. M. (2012). Environmental effect on the biological

behavior of the cucurbit beetle Epilachna chrysomelina in Al-Qunfudah Province- Saudi Arabia. Current World Environment 8: 251–257.

- Awadalla, S. S., Abd-Wahab, H. A., El-Baky
 Abd, N. F. and Abdel-salam, S. S.
 (2011). Host plant preference of the melon ladybird beetle *Epilachna* chrysomelina (F.) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on different cucurbit vegetables in Mansoura region. Journal of Plant Protection in Pathology 2:41–47.
- Behie, S.W., Zelisko, P. M. and Bidochka, M. J. (2012). Endophytic insect-parasitic fungi translocate nitrogen directly from insects to plants. *Science* 336 (6088) pp 336: 1576–7.
- Boucher, T. J. (2003). Using trap crops for control of *Acalymma vittatum* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) reduces insecticide use in butternut squash. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 102(3): 1101-1107.
- Canassa, F., Tall, S., Moral, R. A., De-Lara, I.
 A. R., Delalibera, I., J. and Meyling,
 N. V. (2019). Effects of bean seed treatment by the entomopathogenic fungi *Metarhizium robertsii* and *Beauveria bassiana* on plant growth, spider mite populations and behavior of predatory mite. *Biological Control* 132: 199–208.
- Choudhary, F. K., Patel, G. M. (2012). Effect of abiotic factors on population fluctuation of melon fly, *Bactrocera cucurbitae* Coquillett. *Life Science Leaflets* 365- 369. Retrieved from <u>https://petsd.org/ojs/index.php/lifescie</u> <u>ncesleaflets/article/view/1324</u>
- **Dara, S. K. (2014).** *Beauveria bassiana* and california strawberries: endophytic, mycorrhizal, and entomopathogenic interactions. 46th Annual Meeting of the Society for Invertebrate Pathology and Microbial Control, Pittsburgh, PA, 11-15.
- **Dara, S. K. (2019).** Non-entomopathogenic roles of entomopathogenic fungi in promoting plant health and growth. pp

277.

- Dara, S. K., Dara, S. S. R. and Dara, S. S. (2017). Impact of entomopathogenic fungi on the growth, development, and health of cabbage growing under water stress. *America Journal of Plant Science* 8: 1224–1233.
- Das, S., Uddin, M. M. and Islam, K. S. (2012). Effect of temperature on the behaviour of Epilachna beetle *Epilachna dodecastigma* (Wied) among different brinjal varieties. Journal of Environmental Science and Natural Resources 5 (1): 167-172.
- Dhillon, M. K., Singh, R., Naresh, J. S. and Sharma, H. C. (2005). The melon fruit fly, *Bactrocera cucurbitae*: A review of its biology and management. *Journal of Insect Science* 5: 40-47.
- **FAOSTAT (2008).** Statistical database of Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Glinski, Z. and Buczek, K. (2003). Response of the Apoidea to fungal infections. *Apiacta* 38: 183-189.
- Hoffman, M. P. and Zitter, T. A. (1994). Cucumber beetles, corn rootworms, and bacterial wilt in Cucurbits. Vegetable MD Online. Cornell Cooperative Extension (Accessed 04/10/2018).
- Inthama, P., Pumas, P., Pekkoh, J., Pathom-Aree, W. and Pumas, C. (2021). Plant growth and drought tolerancepromoting bacterium for bioremediation of paraquat pesticide residues in agriculture soils. *Frontier Microbiology* 12: 604662.
- Jaber, L. R. (2015). Grapevine leaf tissue colonization by the fungal entomopathogen *Beauveria bassiana* and its effect against downy mildew. *Biological control* 60: 103–112.
- Jaber, L. R. and Enkerli, J. (2017). Fungal entomopathogens as endophytes: Can they promote plant growth? *Biocontrol Science Technology* 27(1): 28-41.

- Jinadu G. M. (2005). Trials on organochlorine and pyrethroid insecticides for the control of fruit flies of cucumber in Abeokuta southwestern Nigeria. Bachelor of Agriculture Dissertation. Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Nigeria. pp 35.
- Kaoud, H. A. (2010). Susceptibility of Poultry Red Mites to Entomopathogens. International Journal of Poultry Science 9 (3): 259-263.
- Katoh, T., Koji, S., Ishida, T. A., Matsubayashi, K. W., Kahono, S., Kobayas, N., Furukawa, K., Viet, B. T., Neto, J. V., Lange, C. N., Goergen, G., Nakano, S., Li, N., Yu, G. and Katakura, H. (2014). Phylogeny of *Epilachna, Henosepilachna*, and some minor genera of phytophagous ladybird Beetles (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae: Coccinellinae: Epilachnini) with an analysis of ancestral biogeography and host-plant utilization. Zoological Science 31 (12): 820-830.
- Khan, M. H, Islam, B. N, Rahman, A.K. M. M. and Rahman, M. L. (2000). Life table and the rate of food consumption of epilachna beetle, *Epilachna* dodecastigma (Wied.) on different host plant species in laboratory condition. Bangladesh Journal of Entomology 10:63–70.
- Khan, M. M. H., Alam, M. Z., Rahman, M. M., Miah, M. I. H. and Hossain, M.M. (2012). Influence of weather factors on the incidence and distribution of pumpkin beetle infesting cucurbits. *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural. Research* 37(2): 361-367.
- Krishna Kumar, N. K., Verghese, A., Shivakumara, B., Krishnamoorthy, P.
 N. and Ranganath, H. R. (2006). Fruit Flies of Economic Importance. From basic to applied knowledge. In Proceedings of the 7th International Symposium on Fruit Flies of Economic Importance, Salvador, Brazil. pp. 249-253.
- Kuhar, T. P. and Speese, J. (2004). Potential of *Trichogramma ostriniae* (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae) for

biological control of European corn borer (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) in solanaceous crops. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 97(4): 1209-1216.

- Lord, J. C. 2005. From Metchnikoff to Monsanto and beyond: the path of microbial control. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* 89:19–29.
- McKinlay R. G. (1992). Vegetable Crop Pests. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. Pp 406.
- Mondal, S. and Ghatak, S. S. (2009). Bioefficacy of some indigenous plant extracts against Epilachna beetle (*Henosepilachna virintioctopunctata* Fabricius.) infesting cucumber. Journal of Plant Protection Sciences 1 (1): 71-75.
- Mul, M., Niekerk, T., Chirico, T., Maurer, J., Kilpinen, I. O., Sparagano, O., Thind, B., Zoons, J., Moore, D., Bell, B., Gjevre, A. G. and Chauve, C. (2009). Control methods for Dermanyssus gallinae in systems for laying hens. Poultry Science Journal 65: 589-599.
- Nwilene, F. E., Adeoti A. O. and Shaibu A. A. (2023): Efficacy of metarhizium anisopliae and beauveria bassiana as biological control agents of African Rice Gall Midge (AfRGM), Orseolia oryzivora Harris & Gagné, International Journal of Pest M a n a g e m e n t , D O I : 10.1080/09670874.2023.2274353
- Ownley, B. H., Griffin, M. R., Klingeman, W. E., Gwinn, K. D., Moulton, J. K. and Pereira, R. M. (2008). *Beauveria bassiana*: endophytic colonization and plant disease control. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* 98: 267–270.
- Pitan, O. R. and Filani, C. O. (2013). Assessment of insect spectrum and insect induced damage at different growth stages of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) in a rainforest transition Zone of Nigeria. *Annals of Tropical Research* 35 (2): 60-68.
- Pitan, O. O. R. and Esan, E. O. (2013). Intercropping cucumber with amaranth (L.) to suppress population of major pests of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*

L.). Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection 47(9): 1112-1119.

- Pitan, O. R. and Filani, C. O. (2014). Effect of intercropping cucumber, *Cucumis sativus* (cucurbitaceae), at different times with maize, *Zea mays* (Poaceae), on the density of cucumber insect pests. *International Journal of Tropical Insect Science* 1-10.
- Posada, F. and Vega, F. E. (2006). Establishment of the fungal entomopathogens *Beauveria bassiana* (Ascomycota:Hypocreales) as an endophyte in cocoa seedlings (*Theobroma cacao*). Mycologia 97: 208–213.
- Radin, A. M. (1996). Bacterial wilt: Zitter, T. A., Hopkins, D. L. and Thomas, C. E. (eds). Compendium of Cucurbit Diseases. APS Press, pp. 36
- Randall, P. G. (2004). Cucumber, squash, melon and other cucurbit insect pests. Clemson University Cooperative Extension Service, SC, USA. 5 pp. A v a i l a b l e a t : <u>http://www.clemson.edu/extension/</u> hgic/pests/plant_pests/veg_fruit/hgic2 207.html.
- Rath, L. K. (2005). Antibiosis mechanism in eggplant against Epilachna beetle, Henosepilachna vigintioctopunctata (Fabr.). *Indian Journal of Plant Protection* 33 (1): 82-84.
- Sewify, G. H., Belal, M. H. and Al-Awash, S. A. (2009). Use of the

Entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria* bassiana for the biological control of the red palm weevil, *Rhynchophorus* ferrugineus Olivier. Egypt Journal of Biological Pest Control 9(2):157–63.

- Tall, S. and Meyling, N. V. (2018). Probiotics for plants. Growth promotion by the entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria bassiana* depends on nutrient availability. *Microbial Ecology* 76: 1002–1008.
- Vega, F. E. (2018). The use of fungal entomopathogens as endophytes in biological control: A review. *Mycologia* 110: 4–30.
- Vinutha, B. and Kotikal, Y. K. (2018). Management practices for fruit fly, *Bactocera cucurbitae* Coq. affected on Cucumis melo L. var. conomon. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies* 6(3): 99-101.
- Wioletta, T. and Karol, S. (2016). Epilachna (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) – A Revision of the World Genera. *Journal* of Insect Science 16(1): 101.
- Zimmerman, G. (2007). Review on safety of the entomopathogenic fungi *Beauveria bassi*ana and *Beauveria brongniartii*. <u>Biocontrol Science and</u> <u>Technology</u> 17(6):553-596.

